## Placement patterns have remained fairly stable over the coverage period.

Placement of Canada Students

| Group Placement | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 / 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 / 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MATH | $37.8 \%$ |  |  |
| Pre-Algebra | $37.1 \%$ | $36.1 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ |
| Elem Algebra | $14.6 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ | $30.6 \%$ |
| Intermediate Algebra | $10.5 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ |
| Transfer Level Math |  |  |  |
| READING | $49.1 \%$ | $45.4 \%$ | $50.6 \%$ |
| Developmental Reading | $25.2 \%$ | $28.4 \%$ | $29.4 \%$ |
| Acad Reading Strategies | $25.7 \%$ | $26.1 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ |
| Transfer Level Reading |  |  |  |
| ENGLISH | $49.7 \%$ | $38.2 \%$ | $52.5 \%$ |
| Basic Composition | $31.7 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | $29.6 \%$ |
| Writing Development | $18.5 \%$ |  | $18.0 \%$ |
| Transfer Level English |  |  |  |

# Placements patterns for recent grads from Cañada feeder High Schools 

Math Placements for Students from Feeder Schools

| Placement Level | Carlmont | Men-Ath | Redwood | Sequoia | Woodside |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Three Levels Below Transfer | 17\% | 29\% | 73\% | 14\% | 24\% |
| Two Levels Below Transfer | 28\% | 32\% | 13\% | 30\% | 39\% |
| One Level Below Transfer | 24\% | 25\% | 0\% | 19\% | 12\% |
| Transfer Level | 30\% | 14\% | 13\% | 37\% | 24\% |

English Placement for Students from Feeder Schools

| Placement Level | Carlmont | Men-Ath | Redwood | Sequoia | Woodside |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Two Levels Below Transfer | 23\% | 37\% | 56\% | 35\% | 4\% |
| One Level Below Transfer | 28\% | 47\% | 22\% | 21\% | 38\% |
| Transfer Level | 49\% | 16\% | 22\% | 44\% | 58\% |

Reading Placements for Students from Feeder Schools

| Placement Level | Carlmont | Men-Ath | Redwood | Sequoia | Woodside |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Two Levels Below Transfer | 26\% | 57\% | 73\% | 37\% | 26\% |
| One Level Below Transfer | 30\% | 32\% | 13\% | 33\% | 32\% |
| Transfer Level | 43\% | 11\% | 13\% | 30\% | 41\% |

A simulation: given 100 students starting in Pre-Algebra, how many would reach transfer level math?


A simulation: given 100 students starting in English \& Reading 826, how many would reach transfer level?

## English Sequence
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Pass \% = 57.3\%

## Reading Sequence
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Is taking a basic skills course in the first term beneficial to students?


First-Time Students
Assessed into a Pre-

## Is basic skills remediation a challenge for the entire college?



Summary Overlay: improvement in success rates associated with each level of increase in the Basic Skills sequence


# Success rates of students in select transfer level courses 

(Summer 2000 - Spring 2009)


# Success rates of students in select transfer level courses 
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## Success rates of students taking Yoga

(Summer 2000 - Spring 2009)
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## ESL Persistence by Student Entry Level

(Tracking Period: Fall 2000 - Spring 2008)


## Learning Community Assessment

 (Fall 2010 Profile)|  | Success <br> Rate | Retention <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Learning Community | $72 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
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## Learning Community Assessment

 (Fall 2010 Profile)|  | Success <br> Rate | Retention <br> Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Learning Community | $55 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
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